

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/568,366	02/14/2006	Alexandr Vasilievich Volkov	1020.004	2348	
58152 7599 07/07/2009 DEFILLO & ASSOCIATES, INC. P.O. Box 14104			EXAMINER		
			VIRANY, LESLIE R		
Clearwater, FL 33766			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2622		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/07/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/568,366	VOLKOV, ALEXANDR VASILIEVICH
Examiner	Art Unit
LESLIE VIRANY	2622

	LESLIE VIRANY	2622	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the mandrum statutory period way the provision of t	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim- till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 Fe</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> .       Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro		merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4)∑ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav  5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)∑ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected.  7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine:  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 14 February 2006 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the c Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.	accepted or b) objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	s have been received. s have been received in Applicativity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage

Attachment(	s)	
-------------	----	--

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 02/14/2006

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_ 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20090325

Art Unit: 2622

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: Method of Video-Recording Psychohysiological Reactions.

#### Claim Objections

Claim 13 is objected to because of the following informalities: as written, the claim depends on claim10 which appears to be an error: it should depend on claim 12. Examiner has examined the claim as though it depended on claim 12. Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skil in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Latypov (US 6909451) in view of Durousseau. (US 20040143170 – provisional #60435511)

Art Unit: 2622

Regarding claims 1 & 22, Latypov teaches a system for creation of videoprograms comprising a videocamera to shoot a participant of the videoprogram, [FIG. 1 video camera 1] generating means to generate a videoimage of the videoprogram including an image of the participant shot by the videocamera. [FIG. 1 image former 3 and as described in col. 5, lines 13-15]

Latypov further teaches mixing means to add parameters of the measured data of the reflex psychophysiological reactions to the videoimage of the videoprogram. [FIG. 3 combiner 19] Latypov fails to teach that detailed data reflecting the reaction of the filmed subject is collected, processed, and used to modify the video program presented to the subject, as claimed.

However, Durousseau teaches measuring means to measure data of reflex psycho-physiological reactions of the participant in response to verbal influences during the shooting of the participant, [§0050, lines 6-9 (Provisional §0042)]

Durousseau further teaches wherein in addition the system comprises a microphone to record a sound of a voice of the participant during the shooting of the participant, [§0046 Note data analysis of voice inherently requires a microphone (Provisional §0040)] combining means to combine the recorded sound of the voice of the participant with the image of the participant [§0017 speech] and the mixing means includes a modifying unit to change amplitude-frequency characteristics [Note for example Durousseau teaches in §0052 mathematical signal processing with audio synthesizers 18 of the recorded sound which includes speech in a modifiable multimedia presentation – see i.e. §0022] of the voice of the participant in response to a

Art Unit: 2622

change of the parameters of the measured data which corresponds to dishonesty of the participant after the verbal influence such as a testing question. [§0019 library of cognitive and stress related signal processing algorithms]

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have employed the modifiable multimedia presentation with voice of Durousseau in the system for creation of video-programs of Latypov in order to expand real-time polygraphy by confronting the examinee with his own reactions, as explicitly taught by Durousseau.

Regarding claim 2, Latypov further teaches wherein the mixing means includes an additional modifying unit to modify the videoimage of the videoprogram and/or its soundtrack in response to a change of the parameters of the measured data [see i.e. Fig. 3 image former 18 as described in col. 5, lines 32-37]

Regarding claim 3, Latypov further teaches wherein the additional modifying unit is capable to modify the image of the participant and/or another object of the video-image of the videoprogram in manner to change its form and/or color and/or luminance and/or contrast and/or frequency of occurrence. [col. 3, lines 13-17]

Regarding claims 4 & 21 Latypov further teaches wherein the additional modifying unit is capable to form a separate animated image [see i.e. col. 7, lines 62-63] which reflects a level of the change of the parameters of the measured data. [col. 5, lines 16-24, note separate display] Note that computer-formed images are inherently animated and inherently able to be formed in accordance with other information

Art Unit: 2622

available to the computer, such as the psycho-physiological information as discussed in connection with claim 1]

Regarding claims 5 & 19, DuRousseau further teaches claim 2, wherein it is a transforming means to transform the sound of the voice of the participant into an appropriate text and to add the appropriate text as its image to the videoimage of the videoprogram. [§0017, lines 2-3, note voice and text (Provisional §0013) and also §0032 voice data processing]

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have employed the voice stresses-detector of Durousseau in the system for creation of videoprograms of Latypov in order to observe the real-time effect of soundand physiologically-enhanced responses of an examinee, as explicitly taught by Durousseau.

Regarding claims 6 & 20, DuRousseau further teaches wherein the additional modifying unit is capable to modify the image of the appropriate text in manner to change its form and/or color and/or luminance and/or contrast and/or frequency of occurrence. [0046] Note that Durousseau teaches adding text to a multimedia presentation and shows [FIG. 1 PC 16] a PC which would inherently provide the means to change the form (or font) of displayed text, thus meeting the limitation.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have employed the text-image modification of Durousseau in the system for creation of videoprograms of Latypov in order to observe the real-time effect of sound-

Art Unit: 2622

and physiologically-enhanced responses of an examinee, as explicitly taught by Durousseau.

Regarding claims 7 & 23, DuRousseau further teaches wherein the measuring means is carried out as a voice stresses-detector reacting to the sound of the voice of the participant recorded by the microphone. [§0046 polygraph performing data analysis on voice (Provisional §0040)]

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have employed the polygraph of Durousseau in the system for creation of videoprograms of Latypov in order to observe the real-time effect of sound- and physiologically-enhanced responses of an examinee, as explicitly taught by

Regarding claim 8. DuRousseau further teaches wherein the measuring means is carried out as a strain-measuring platform. [§0032, lines 4-11 (Provisional §0026)]

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have employed the strain-measuring of Durousseau in the system for creation of videoprograms of Latypov in order to observe the real-time effect of soundand physiologically-enhanced responses of an examinee, as explicitly taught by Durousseau.

Regarding claims 9 & 24. Durousseau further teaches wherein the measuring means is carried out as a polygraph. [§0046, line 2]

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have employed the polygraph of Durousseau in the system for creation of

Art Unit: 2622

videoprograms of Latypov in order to embue the system with known polygraphic analysis techniques, as explicitly taught by Durousseau.

Regarding claims 10 & 25, Latypov further teaches wherein the measuring means includes a sensor unit to measure physiological parameters of an organism of the participant which give in to measuring and reflect the reflex psychophysiological reaction of the participant after the testing question. [col. 5, lines 19-21 & 32 - 36]

Regarding claims 11 & 26 Durousseau further teaches wherein the sensor unit comprises a gauge or gauges chosen of a following group: a gauge of a pulse wave, a gauge of a pulse rate, a gauge of frequency of respiration, a gauge of bioelectric signals of a brain, and a gauge of electric conduction of a skin. [§0024, lines 4-5 (Provisional §0020)]

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have employed the gauges of Durousseau in the system for creation of videoprograms of Latypov in order to provide scientifically verifiable observations, as explicitly taught by Durousseau.

Regarding claim 12, claim 12 is directed towards method steps which correspond to the means of the device disclosed in claim 1, and is likewise rejected.

Regarding claim 13, claim 13 is directed towards method steps which correspond to the means of the device disclosed in claim 2, and is likewise rejected.

Regarding claim 14, claim 14 is directed towards method steps which correspond to the means of the device disclosed in claim 3, and is likewise rejected.

Regarding claim 15, claim 15 is directed towards method steps which correspond to the means of the device disclosed in claim 5, and is likewise rejected.

Regarding claim 16, claim 16 is directed towards method steps which correspond to the means of the device disclosed in claim 6, and is likewise rejected.

Regarding claim 17, claim 17 is directed towards method steps which correspond to the means of the device disclosed in claim 4, and is likewise rejected.

Regarding claim 18, claim 18 is directed towards method steps which correspond to the means of the device disclosed in claim 10, and is likewise rejected.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LESLIE VIRANY whose telephone number is (571)270-5893. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lin Ye can be reached on (571)272-7372. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/568,366 Page 9

Art Unit: 2622

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Lin Ye/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2622

١V